Question 1: Undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange (I)

The real functions of two variables, f(x, y) and g(x, y) are given by

$$f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$
 (1)

$$g(x,y) = x + y - 2 \tag{2}$$

1a. Draw a contour map of f(x, y) with contours f(x, y) = c for c = 1, 2, and 4.

1b. In the same figure draw the line g(x, y) = 0.





$$\nabla f(x,y) = \lambda \nabla g(x,y),$$

where λ is the undetermined multiplier and

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \partial/\partial x \\ \partial/\partial y \end{pmatrix}.$$

After solving the equation, find the value of λ by using the constraint g(x, y) = 0.

Answer:

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} f(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x\\ 2y \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \boldsymbol{\nabla} g(x,y) = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution: $x = \lambda/2$, $y = \lambda/2$. The constraint gives $x + y - 2 = \lambda - 2 = 0$, so $\lambda = 2$ and (x, y) = (1, 1).

Question 2: Undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange (II)

The column vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has components x_i . The real functions $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $g(\boldsymbol{x})$ are given by

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{3}$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} - 1 \tag{4}$$

where \boldsymbol{H} is a real, symmetric, $n \times n$ matrix, i.e. $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$ for i, j = 1, ..., n.

Page 1 of 7

2a. Show that minimization of $f(\mathbf{x})$ with the constraint that $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ leads to an eigenvalue problem.

Answer: We need to solve

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \lambda \nabla g(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

In components:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\sum_{i,j}x_iH_{i,j}x_j=\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(\sum_i x_i^2-1).$$

Use the chain rule and

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} = \delta_{i,k}.$$

The left hand side:

$$\sum_{i,j} (\delta_{i,k} H_{i,j} x_j + x_i H_{i,j} \delta_{j,k}) = \sum_j H_{k,j} x_j + \sum_i x_i H_{i,k} = 2 \sum_i H_{k,i} x_i.$$

In the last step we used $H_{i,k} = H_{k,i}$. The right hand side gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_i x_i^2 = \sum_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i^2 = \sum_i \delta_{k,i} 2x_i = 2x_k.$$

Combining the left and the right hand side:

$$\sum_{i} H_{k,i} x_i = \lambda x_k$$

In matrix notation

$$Hx = \lambda x$$

This is matrix eigenvalue problem.

Question 3: Hartree-Fock equations for a two electron system

A two electron Slater-determinant is given by

$$\Phi(1,2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \phi \bar{\phi} \right|. \tag{5}$$

The molecular orbital $\phi(\mathbf{r})$, with electron coordinate $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is expanded in the *m*-dimensional AO basis $\{\chi_1(\mathbf{r}), \chi_2(\mathbf{r}), \ldots, \chi_m(\mathbf{r})\},\$

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{m} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) c_{\lambda}.$$
(6)

The electronic Hamiltonian, expressed in one- and two-electron operators \hat{h} and \hat{g} is

$$\hat{H} = \hat{h}(1) + \hat{h}(2) + \hat{g}(1,2).$$
(7)

The expectation value of Hamiltonian \hat{H} for wave function Φ is given by

$$E = 2h + J = 2\langle \phi | \hat{h} | \phi \rangle + \langle \phi(1)\phi(2) | \hat{g}(1,2) | \phi(1)\phi(2) \rangle.$$
(8)

The overlap and one-electron integrals in the AO basis are given by

$$S_{\lambda,\mu} \equiv \langle \chi_{\lambda} | \chi_{\mu} \rangle \tag{9}$$

$$h_{\lambda,\mu} \equiv \langle \chi_{\lambda} | \hat{h} | \chi_{\mu} \rangle \tag{10}$$

and the two-electron integrals are given by

$$\langle \lambda \mu || \nu \tau \rangle \equiv \langle \chi_{\lambda}(1) \chi_{\mu}(2) | \hat{g}(1,2) | \chi_{\nu}(1) \chi_{\tau}(2) \rangle.$$
(11)

3a. Where did the exchange integral in Eq. (8) go?

Answer: There are just 2 electrons, with opposite spins, so the exchange integral K = 0. You might object that there could be an artifical self-exchange, see the next question.

3b. Compare Eq. (8) with the online notes from the lecture.

Answer: The notes say:

$$E = \sum_{i}^{2} \langle \psi_i | h_i | \psi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{2} \langle \psi_i \psi_j | \hat{g}(1,2) | \psi_i \psi_j \rangle$$

with $|\psi_1\rangle = |\phi\rangle |\alpha\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = |\phi\rangle |\beta\rangle$. The one-electron part is trivial:

$$\sum_{i}^{2} \langle \psi_{i} | h_{i} | \psi_{i} \rangle = \sum_{i}^{2} \langle \phi | h | \phi \rangle (\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | \beta \rangle) = 2 \langle \phi | h | \phi \rangle = 2h$$

In the two-electron part the double sum yields two identical terms (one with the α -spin in orbital 1 and β -spin in orbital 2 and one with the β -spin in orbital 1 and the α -spin in orbital 2). This yields a factor 2 that cancels the factor 1/2 before the summation. So we get:

$$\langle \phi(1)\phi(2)|\hat{g}(1,2)|\phi(1)\phi(2)\rangle = J$$

So in total:

$$E = 2h + J = 2h + 2J - K$$

Here we introduced the self-exchange K that cancels the self-Coulomb contribution.

3c. Derive the energy expression (assume that all functions and coefficients are real)

$$E = 2\sum_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu,\tau} \langle \lambda,\mu ||\nu,\tau\rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau}.$$
 (12)

Answer: Assume that all functions and coefficients are real. The one-electron term:

$$2\langle \phi | \hat{h} | \phi \rangle = 2 \langle \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}) c_{\lambda} | \hat{h} | \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}) c_{\mu} \rangle = 2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu} \langle \chi_{\lambda} | \hat{h} | \chi_{\mu} \rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} = 2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu}$$

The two-electron term:

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi(1)\phi(2)|\hat{g}(1,2)|\phi(1)\phi(2)\rangle &= \langle \sum_{\lambda}\chi_{\lambda}(1)c_{\lambda}\sum_{\mu}\chi_{\mu}(2)c_{\mu}|\hat{g}(1,2)|\sum_{\nu}\chi_{\nu}(1)c_{\nu}\sum_{\tau}\chi_{\tau}(2)c_{\tau}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu,\tau}\langle\lambda,\mu||\nu,\tau\rangle c_{\lambda}c_{\mu}c_{\nu}c_{\tau}. \end{split}$$

3d. Minimize the energy with the constraint that $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 1$ using the undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange.

Answer: The energy E must be minimized under the constraint that the molecular orbital ϕ is normalized. This gives

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} E(\boldsymbol{c}) = \lambda \boldsymbol{\nabla} g(\boldsymbol{c}),$$

with the constraint

$$g(\boldsymbol{c}) = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle - 1 = \langle \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}) c_{\lambda} | \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}) c_{\mu} \rangle - 1 = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} S_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} - 1 = 0.$$

When computing the gradient use

$$\frac{\partial c_{\lambda}}{\partial c_{\rho}} = \delta_{\lambda\rho}.$$

For the one-electron part of the energy we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial c_{\rho}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} \sum_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\lambda,\mu} \delta_{\lambda\rho} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\lambda,\mu} c_{\lambda} \delta_{\mu\rho} \\ &= \sum_{\mu} h_{\rho,\mu} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda,\rho} c_{\lambda} = 2 \sum_{\mu} h_{\rho,\mu} c_{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used that \hat{h} is hermitian and real, $h_{\lambda,\rho} = h_{\rho,\lambda}$. The gradient of the constraint is derived analogously

$$\frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{c})}{\partial c_{\rho}} = 2\sum_{\mu} S_{\rho,\mu} c_{\mu}.$$

The gradient of the Coulomb integral

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial c_{\rho}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} \sum_{\lambda\mu\nu\tau} \langle \lambda\mu || \nu\tau \rangle c_{\lambda}c_{\mu}c_{\nu}c_{\tau} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu,\tau} \langle \lambda\mu || \nu\tau \rangle (\delta_{\rho\lambda}c_{\mu}c_{\nu}c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda}\delta_{\rho\mu}c_{\nu}c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda}c_{\mu}\delta_{\rho\nu}c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda}c_{\mu}c_{\nu}\delta_{\rho\tau}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{\mu\nu\tau} \langle \rho\mu || \nu\tau \rangle c_{\mu}c_{\nu}c_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Answer: To show that all four contributions are the same in the last step, use

$$\langle \lambda \mu || \nu \tau \rangle = \langle \nu \tau || \lambda \mu \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \lambda \mu || \nu \tau \rangle = \langle \mu \lambda || \tau \nu \rangle.$$

3e. Show that the resulting equations can be rewritten as

$$Fc = \epsilon Sc, \tag{13}$$

where the matrix elements of the so-called Fock matrix F are given by

$$F_{\rho,\nu} = h_{\rho,\nu} + \sum_{\mu,\tau} P_{\tau\mu} \langle \rho \mu || \nu \tau \rangle$$
(14)

and the *density matrix* \boldsymbol{P} is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{c}^T,\tag{15}$$

or, in components

$$P_{\tau\mu} = c_{\tau}c_{\mu}.\tag{16}$$

Answer: To introduce the Fock-matrix we first note that indices ρ and ν refer to electron 1 in the expression for the gradient of the Coulomb integral. Therefore, we rewrite the gradient of the one electron term using the same indices:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial c_{\rho}} = 2\sum_{\nu} h_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu}$$

and define the Fock-matrix elements by

$$F_{\rho,\nu} = h_{\rho,\nu} + \sum_{\mu,\tau} \langle \rho \mu || \nu \tau \rangle c_{\mu} c_{\tau}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}}(2h+J) = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}}g(\boldsymbol{c})$$

becomes:

$$4\sum_{\nu} F_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu} = 2\lambda \sum_{\nu} S_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu}.$$

With $\epsilon = \lambda/2$, in matrix notation:

 $Fc = \epsilon Sc.$

Question 4: Symmetric group

The group of all n! permutations of n objects is called the *symmetric group*, denoted by S_n ,

$$S_n = \{ \hat{P}_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n! \}.$$
(17)

The set T is defined by

$$T = \{ \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n! \},$$
(18)

where $\hat{Q} \in S_n$.

In general, two sets A and B are equal if all elements of A are in B and all elements of B are in A.

4a. Show that $T = S_n$ using the property of a group that each element has an inverse.

Answer: Sets A and B are equal if $a \in A \leftrightarrow a \in B$. With $\hat{T}_i \equiv \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ and $\hat{P}_j \equiv \hat{Q}^{-1}\hat{P}_i$ we see that $\hat{T}_j \equiv \hat{Q}\hat{P}_j = \hat{Q}\hat{Q}^{-1}\hat{P}_i = \hat{P}_i$.

The antisymmetrizer for n objects is

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \hat{P}_i, \tag{19}$$

where p_i the parity of \hat{P} (p_i is odd or even).

4b. Show that for $\hat{Q} \in S_n$ and \hat{A} the antisymmetrizer

$$\hat{Q}\hat{A} = (-1)^q \hat{A},$$

where q is the parity of \hat{Q} .

Answer:

$$\hat{Q}\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i = (-1)^q \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i+q} \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i = (-1)^q \hat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

In the last step we used that $p_i + q$ is the parity of $\hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ and that $\hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ runs through the entire set S_n when i = 1, ..., n!