

Question 1: Undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange (I)


The real functions of two variables, $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are given by

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 \quad (1)$$

$$g(x, y) = x + y - 2 \quad (2)$$

1a. Draw a contour map of $f(x, y)$ with contours $f(x, y) = c$ for $c = 1, 2,$ and 4 .

1b. In the same figure draw the line $g(x, y) = 0$.



Answer:

1c. Use the undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange to find the minimum of $f(x, y)$ with the constraint that $g(x, y) = 0$, i.e., solve

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y),$$

where λ is the undetermined multiplier and

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \partial/\partial x \\ \partial/\partial y \end{pmatrix}.$$

After solving the equation, find the value of λ by using the constraint $g(x, y) = 0$.

Answer:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \nabla g(x, y) = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution: $x = \lambda/2, y = \lambda/2$. The constraint gives $x + y - 2 = \lambda - 2 = 0$, so $\lambda = 2$ and $(x, y) = (1, 1)$.

Question 2: Undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange (II)

The column vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has components x_i . The real functions $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$ are given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \quad (3)$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} - 1 \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{H} is a real, symmetric, $n \times n$ matrix, i.e. $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

2a. Show that minimization of $f(\mathbf{x})$ with the constraint that $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ leads to an eigenvalue problem.

Answer: We need to solve

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \nabla g(\mathbf{x}).$$

In components:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i,j} x_i H_{i,j} x_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\sum_i x_i^2 - 1).$$

Use the chain rule and

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} = \delta_{i,k}.$$

The left hand side:

$$\sum_{i,j} (\delta_{i,k} H_{i,j} x_j + x_i H_{i,j} \delta_{j,k}) = \sum_j H_{k,j} x_j + \sum_i x_i H_{i,k} = 2 \sum_i H_{k,i} x_i.$$

In the last step we used $H_{i,k} = H_{k,i}$. The right hand side gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_i x_i^2 = \sum_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i^2 = \sum_i \delta_{k,i} 2x_i = 2x_k.$$

Combining the left and the right hand side:

$$\sum_i H_{k,i} x_i = \lambda x_k$$

In matrix notation

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

This is matrix eigenvalue problem.

Question 3: Hartree-Fock equations for a two electron system

A two electron Slater-determinant is given by

$$\Phi(1, 2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\phi\bar{\phi}|. \quad (5)$$

The molecular orbital $\phi(\mathbf{r})$, with electron coordinate $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is expanded in the m -dimensional AO basis $\{\chi_1(\mathbf{r}), \chi_2(\mathbf{r}), \dots, \chi_m(\mathbf{r})\}$,

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \chi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) c_{\lambda}. \quad (6)$$

The electronic Hamiltonian, expressed in one- and two-electron operators \hat{h} and \hat{g} is

$$\hat{H} = \hat{h}(1) + \hat{h}(2) + \hat{g}(1, 2). \quad (7)$$

The expectation value of Hamiltonian \hat{H} for wave function Φ is given by

$$E = 2h + J = 2\langle\phi|\hat{h}|\phi\rangle + \langle\phi(1)\phi(2)|\hat{g}(1, 2)|\phi(1)\phi(2)\rangle. \quad (8)$$

The overlap and one-electron integrals in the AO basis are given by

$$S_{\lambda,\mu} \equiv \langle\chi_{\lambda}|\chi_{\mu}\rangle \quad (9)$$

$$h_{\lambda,\mu} \equiv \langle\chi_{\lambda}|\hat{h}|\chi_{\mu}\rangle \quad (10)$$

and the two-electron integrals are given by

$$\langle\lambda\mu||\nu\tau\rangle \equiv \langle\chi_{\lambda}(1)\chi_{\mu}(2)|\hat{g}(1, 2)|\chi_{\nu}(1)\chi_{\tau}(2)\rangle. \quad (11)$$

3a. Where did the exchange integral in Eq. (8) go?

Answer: There are just 2 electrons, with opposite spins, so the exchange integral $K = 0$. You might object that there could be an artificial self-exchange, see the next question.

3b. Compare Eq. (8) with the online notes from the lecture.

Answer: *The notes say:*

$$E = \sum_i^2 \langle \psi_i | h_i | \psi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i^2 \sum_{i \neq j}^2 \langle \psi_i \psi_j | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \psi_i \psi_j \rangle$$

with $|\psi_1\rangle = |\phi\rangle|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = |\phi\rangle|\beta\rangle$. The one-electron part is trivial:

$$\sum_i^2 \langle \psi_i | h_i | \psi_i \rangle = \sum_i^2 \langle \phi | h | \phi \rangle (\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | \beta \rangle) = 2 \langle \phi | h | \phi \rangle = 2h$$

In the two-electron part the double sum yields two identical terms (one with the α -spin in orbital 1 and β -spin in orbital 2 and one with the β -spin in orbital 1 and the α -spin in orbital 2). This yields a factor 2 that cancels the factor 1/2 before the summation. So we get:

$$\langle \phi(1)\phi(2) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \phi(1)\phi(2) \rangle = J$$

So in total:

$$E = 2h + J = 2J - K$$

Here we introduced the self-exchange K that cancels the self-Coulomb contribution.

3c. Derive the energy expression

$$E = 2 \sum_{\lambda, \mu} h_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu, \tau} \langle \lambda, \mu | | \nu, \tau \rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau}. \quad (12)$$

Answer: *Assume that all functions and coefficients are real. The one-electron term:*

$$2 \langle \phi | \hat{h} | \phi \rangle = 2 \left\langle \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) c_{\lambda} \left| \hat{h} \right| \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) c_{\mu} \right\rangle = 2 \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \langle \chi_{\lambda} | \hat{h} | \chi_{\mu} \rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} = 2 \sum_{\lambda, \mu} h_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu}$$

The two-electron term:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(1)\phi(2) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \phi(1)\phi(2) \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(1) c_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(2) c_{\mu} \left| \hat{g}(1, 2) \right| \sum_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}(1) c_{\nu} \sum_{\tau} \chi_{\tau}(2) c_{\tau} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu, \tau} \langle \lambda, \mu | | \nu, \tau \rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

3d. Minimize the energy with the constraint that $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 1$ using the undetermined multiplier method of Lagrange.

Answer: The energy E must be minimized under the constraint that the molecular orbital ϕ is normalized. This gives

$$\nabla E(\mathbf{c}) = \lambda \nabla g(\mathbf{c}),$$

with the constraint

$$g(\mathbf{c}) = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle - 1 = \left\langle \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda} \middle| \sum_{\mu} \chi_{\mu} \right\rangle - 1 = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} S_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} - 1 = 0.$$

When computing the gradient use

$$\frac{\partial c_{\lambda}}{\partial c_{\rho}} = \delta_{\lambda \rho}.$$

For the one-electron part of the energy we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial c_{\rho}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} h_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} h_{\lambda, \mu} \delta_{\lambda \rho} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu} h_{\lambda, \mu} c_{\lambda} \delta_{\mu \rho} \\ &= \sum_{\mu} h_{\rho, \mu} c_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda, \rho} c_{\lambda} = 2 \sum_{\mu} h_{\rho, \mu} c_{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used that \hat{h} is hermitian and real, $h_{\lambda, \rho} = h_{\rho, \lambda}$.

The gradient of the constraint is derived analogously

$$\frac{\partial g(\mathbf{c})}{\partial c_{\rho}} = 2 \sum_{\mu} S_{\rho, \mu} c_{\mu}.$$

The gradient of the Coulomb integral

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial c_{\rho}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} \sum_{\lambda \mu \nu \tau} \langle \lambda \mu | | \nu \tau \rangle c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu, \tau} \langle \lambda \mu | | \nu \tau \rangle (\delta_{\rho \lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda} \delta_{\rho \mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} \delta_{\rho \nu} c_{\tau} + c_{\lambda} c_{\mu} c_{\nu} \delta_{\rho \tau}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{\mu \nu \tau} \langle \rho \mu | | \nu \tau \rangle c_{\mu} c_{\nu} c_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Answer: To show that all four contributions are the same in the last step, use

$$\langle \lambda \mu | | \nu \tau \rangle = \langle \nu \tau | | \lambda \mu \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \lambda \mu | | \nu \tau \rangle = \langle \mu \lambda | | \tau \nu \rangle.$$

3e. Show that the resulting equations can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c} = \epsilon\mathbf{S}\mathbf{c}, \quad (13)$$

where the matrix elements of the so-called Fock matrix \mathbf{F} are given by

$$F_{\rho,\nu} = h_{\rho,\nu} + 2 \sum_{\mu,\tau} P_{\tau\mu} \langle \rho\mu || \nu\tau \rangle \quad (14)$$

and the *density matrix* \mathbf{P} is defined by

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^T, \quad (15)$$

or, in components

$$P_{\tau\mu} = c_{\tau}c_{\mu}. \quad (16)$$

Answer: To introduce the Fock-matrix we first note that indices ρ and ν refer to electron 1 in the expression for the gradient of the Coulomb integral. Therefore, we rewrite the gradient of the one electron term using the same indices:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial c_{\rho}} = 2 \sum_{\nu} h_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu}$$

and define the Fock-matrix elements by

$$F_{\rho,\nu} = h_{\rho,\nu} + \sum_{\mu,\tau} \langle \rho\mu || \nu\tau \rangle c_{\mu}c_{\tau}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} (2h + J) = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial c_{\rho}} g(\mathbf{c})$$

becomes:

$$4 \sum_{\nu} F_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu} = 2\lambda \sum_{\nu} S_{\rho,\nu} c_{\nu}.$$

With $\epsilon = \lambda/2$, in matrix notation:

$$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c} = \epsilon\mathbf{S}\mathbf{c}.$$

Question 4: Symmetric group

The group of all $n!$ permutations of n objects is called the *symmetric group*, denoted by S_n ,

$$S_n = \{\hat{P}_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n!\}. \quad (17)$$

The set T is defined by

$$T = \{\hat{Q}\hat{P}_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n!\}, \quad (18)$$

where $\hat{Q} \in S_n$.

In general, two sets A and B are equal if all elements of A are in B and all elements of B are in A .

4a. Show that $T = S_n$ using the property of a group that each element has an inverse.

Answer: Sets A and B are equal if $a \in A \leftrightarrow a \in B$. With $\hat{T}_i \equiv \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ and $\hat{P}_j \equiv \hat{Q}^{-1}\hat{P}_i$ we see that $\hat{T}_j \equiv \hat{Q}\hat{P}_j = \hat{Q}\hat{Q}^{-1}\hat{P}_i = \hat{P}_i$.

The antisymmetrizer for n objects is

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \hat{P}_i, \quad (19)$$

where p_i the parity of \hat{P} (p_i is odd or even).

4b. Show that for $\hat{Q} \in S_n$ and \hat{A} the antisymmetrizer

$$\hat{Q}\hat{A} = (-1)^q \hat{A},$$

where q is the parity of \hat{Q} .

Answer:

$$\hat{Q}\hat{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i = (-1)^q \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i+q} \hat{Q}\hat{P}_i = (-1)^q \hat{A}.$$

In the last step we used that $p_i + q$ is the parity of $\hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ and that $\hat{Q}\hat{P}_i$ runs through the entire set S_n when $i = 1, \dots, n!$